



AC/CMW

PTO/SB/21 (07-06)

Approved for use through 09/30/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	10/675,644-Conf. #6075	
	Filing Date	September 30, 2003	
	First Named Inventor	Anthony J. Gounalis	
	Art Unit	3662	
	Examiner Name	B. E. Gregory	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	4	Attorney Docket Number	L0562.70037US00

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Request For Reconsideration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Return Receipt Postcard
<div>Remarks</div>		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm Name	WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.		
Signature			
Printed name	Scott J. Gerwin		
Date	January 16, 2007	Reg. No.	57,866

Certificate of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.8(a)	
I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	
Dated: January 16, 2007	Signature:



DOCKET NO.: L0562.70037US00

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Anthony J. Gounalis
Serial No.: 10/675,644
Confirmation No.: 6075
Filed: September 30, 2003
For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SELECTING A RECEIVER
HARDWARE CONFIGURATION TO DETECT EMITTER
SIGNALS

Examiner: Bernarr E. Gregory
Art Unit: 3662

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document is being placed in the United States mail with first-class postage attached, addressed to **MAIL STOP AF**, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the 16th day of January, 2007.


Signature

MAIL STOP AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

In response to the Office Action mailed October 13, 2006 Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration. To further the prosecution of this application, each of the rejections has been carefully considered and is addressed below. The application as presented is believed to be in condition for allowance.

The Office Action indicates maintains the rejection of claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. §101, set forth in the previous Office Action mailed January 31, 2006. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

The Office Action of January 31, 2006 states that the claims are directed to non-statutory subject matter. "in that they are directed merely to an abstract calculation...without any post-solutional activity of practical utility." Thus, the Office Action appears to assert that because the claims do not recite a use for the result of the process recited in the claims, the claims are directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant respectfully disagrees.